## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

_	From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY							
	To: DANIEL A. MONACO DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP ONE LOGAN SQUARE			PCT				
	18TH AN	D CHERRY STR LPHIA, PA 191			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
					Date of mailing (day/month/year) 07 JUL 2005			
	Applicant'	's or agent's file re	eference		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
	08321-110PC2			1.7				
	Internation	nal application No	•	International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)		
	PCT/US04		action (IBC)	10 June 2004 (10.06.200 or both national classificati		13 June 2003 (13.06.2003)		
	IPC(7): A	61K 39/42; C07H	21/02; C07K 9, 91.4, 455.	16/00; C12N 5/06, 5/02, 1325: 436/548: 530/388.3, 3	5/00, 15/63; C12P 1  88.15: 536/23.53, 23	9/34; G01N 33/53 and U 5.7	JS Cl.: 424/147.1,	
-	142.1, 224.1; 435/320.1, 339, 91.4, 455, 325; 436/548; 530/388.3, 388.15; 536/23.53, 23.7  Applicant							
	THOMAS	JEFFERSON UN	IVERSITY			•		
י								
	1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:							
	Box No. I Basis of the opinion					•		
Box No. II Priority								
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inver						ntive step and industrial	applicability	
		Box No. IV	Lack of uni	ty of invention				
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. V			1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial as supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited							
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international ap				plication			
		Box No. VIII	Certain obs	ervations on the internation	nal application			
		THER ACTIO						
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						m the date of mailing	
		orther options, see						
N	1 F. Further details see motes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
		mailing address o		5	Authorized office	1 1	10	
		Mail Stop PET, Attn Commissioner for Pa P.O. Box 1450			James L. Grun	HILLA	Hollon	
DB	<u> </u>	Alexandria Virginia ND Quos Sobia	133181180		Telephone No. 5	71-272-1600	bor	
	Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)							

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/18613

Box No. I Basis of this opinion									
1.	1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.								
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).							
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:								
	a.	type of material							
		a sequence listing							
		table(s) related to the sequence listing							
	b.	format of material							
•	* **	in written format							
•		in computer readable form							
	c.	time of filing/furnishing							
		contained in international application as filed.							
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.							
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.							
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.								
4.	Additi	ional comments:							
		·							

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/18613

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1. Statement							
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-34	YES					
	Claims NONE	NO					
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-34	YES					
inventive step (13)	Claims NONE						
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-34	YES NO					
	Claims NONE	NO					
2. Citations and explanations:							
Claims 1-34 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article	33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or	fairly suggest the products and/or					
methods having the specific limitations as claimed.	•						
Claims 1-34 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article be made or used in industry.	33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because	ause the subject matter claimed can					
,							
		•					
	•						
Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)							